#### **UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS**

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





Acknowledgements: Dr Julia Martin Ortega and Prof Jouni Paavola, University of Leeds



Dr Nicola Favretto n.favretto leeds.ac.uk

**ILHAM-EC** 







### Learning goal and outline

#### **LEARNING GOAL**

To understand the welfare-economic basis of Cost-Benefit Analysis (CBA) and be able to conduct a simple CBA

#### OUTLINE

- > Welfare economics and CBA: welfare, well-being, utility, Pareto efficiency
- > CBA framework and scenario development under multiple timeframes for analysis
- Discounting and indicators of economic viability
- Discussion on empirical findings
- Sensitivity analysis: how to test the validity of results









## Welfare economics and utility

- Branch of economics concerned with the effects that decisions on resource allocation have on **welfare**
- Welfare is the state of **well-being** of an individual or a society
- Utility & environment: People increase their utility by consuming services provided by ecosystems ...The status of ecosystems affects human well-being













# Welfare criteria: efficient allocation

- Pareto efficiency: Economic change is desirable if in the new situation no one is worse off than before and at least one agent is better off
  - difficult to mobilise because few policies or projects have only beneficial or neutral consequences. Somebody is almost surely made worse off by them
  - a "potential Pareto-improvement" (PPI) occurs if the gainers could compensate the losers and still benefit
  - Cost-Benefit Analysis mobilises this idea by quantifying benefits and costs of a change & testing if the winners could compensate losers



Vilfredo Pareto (1848 – 1923)









# Cost-Benefit Analysis (CBA)

- CBA is a tool used to assess the economic feasibility of a public policy or project
  - "Is an action, planned change or project worth undertaking?"
- Based on the principles of **welfare economics**:
  - Individuals behave in a rational way **maximising** their **utility**
  - They express their **preferences (values)** through their choices
  - Markets provide the context in which preferences are revealed and measured in terms of Willingness To Pay (monetary units)
  - Social preferences are the sum of individual preferences of all members of society
- CBA provides the rules for aggregating preferences so that is possible to speak of a social preference for or against something











### CBA

- CBA helps decide which option **maximises social utility** (well-being)
- Consists of comparing costs and benefits of the consequences of a policy or a project to all members of society
  - If cost > benefits = don't do it
  - If costs < benefits = do it</p>











### CBA

• Incremental net benefits: Costs and benefits are quantified in monetary terms and aggregated across time

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Benefits from land	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Costs of using land	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Net benefits from action	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Incremental net benefits	= net benefits from action minus net benefits from business as usual				

#### ... If incremental net benefits > o, we should take action!







## Scenario development: with and without change





• Estimate associated costs and benefits for each scenario

**Costs of "inaction" (opportunity costs)**: max benefits from "without change" – max benefits that could be derived from "with change"









### **Decision-making framework**

Starting point:

A given piece of land, for a given legal, political and economic context









### Decision-making framework











### Decision-making framework



















## CBA steps

- 1. CBA Defining the project (alternative scenarios)
- 2. Identifying project impacts (what to analyse, time period)
- 3. Physical quantification of impacts
- 4. Estimation of costs and valuation of benefits
  - predict how value flows extend into future
  - calculate prices where none exist
- 5. Determination of net present value & other performance indicators
- 6. Assessing the sensitivity of the results









# Discounting and Net Present Value (NPV)

- Projects and policies often have impact over a period of time, so costs and benefits need to be **aggregated over time**
- Future costs and benefits need to be '**discounted**' relative to present values
  - Because money is not worth the same over time
- Question: what do you prefer, £1000 today or £1000 in one year?
  - People have a **preference for the present** over the future
  - Money has it own market: if you get £1000 today you can invest it and have more money in the future
    - If we had £1000 now and would earn annual interest of 5 percent, our savings would increase to £ 1276 in 5 years









# Discounting and Net Present Value (NPV)

- When **comparing costs and benefits over** a period of **time**, we cannot just add them and subtract them (they are **not worth the same**!)
- **Discounting** is the mechanism to adjust the value of something in the future **to today's term**

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Benefits from land	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Costs of using land	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Net benefits from action	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

- Net Present Value (NPV) is the value today of a given stream of costs and benefits through time in the future
- **Discount rate** is the factor by which future's costs or benefits are discounted to today's value











$$NPV = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{(B_t - C_t)}{(1+r)^t}$$

- A project is **economically feasible** if it has a **positive NPV** 
  - Which option does maximise social utility?
- The **discount rate** we chose is going to **fundamentally affect the NPV** that we obtain
  - It determines the **factor** by which costs and benefits need to be discounted:

$$DF = \frac{1}{(1+r)^t}$$

- **Ouestion**: what happens with low discount rates? And with high discount rates?
  - Higher discount rates reduce the net present value









## Other indicators of economic performance

	Net Present Value (NPV)	Internal Rate of Return (IRR)	Benefit-Cost (B/C) Ratio
Computation	Sum of present value of <u>incremental net</u> benefits over several years	Discount rate that leads to NPV=o	Sum of discounted <u>incremental</u> benefits divided by the discounted <u>incremental</u> costs
Go ahead	NPV > o	IRR > opportunity cost of capital	B/C > 1
Stop	NPV < o	IRR < opportunity cost of capital	B/C < 1

- **Opportunity cost** of capital is the average rate of return from (other) investments
- The **higher a project's IRR**, the more attractive is the project because it is **less risky** (less exposed to changes in the discount rate)







# **Group discussion**

• Does a higher or lower discount rate favour the environment?

• A high discount rate penalises projects whose costs are in the present with benefits in the future. What does this means in terms of climate change?

 To do something about climate change, we need to incur in lots of costs now but we will only see the benefits in the future.... Therefore if we apply high discount rates, it won't make it worthwhile to invest in climate change mitigation and adaptation.







## Case study: agroforestry in Mali



ILHAM-EC workshop Sassari, January 22th – 24th, 2018 – Dr Nicola Favretto, University of Leeds









# Sensitivity analysis (SA)

- There is **uncertainty** regarding physical impacts and monetary values
- SA: changing the values of the parameters (checking the indicators give the same results)
  - Identifying key sources of uncertainty, considering the range of values of uncertain factors, and recalculating NPV with min & max values
- **SA to discount rates**: recommended for environmental projects: 3 5%
  - But good practice to make a SA on different discount rates
  - More elaborate SA (e.g. Monte Carlo method) is based on probabilistic approach to values and uses simulations











## Empirical relevance of CBA

- Existing studies demonstrate that sustainable land management pays off
- The **benefits of taking action** are **more rewarding** economically **than the costs of inaction** (opportunity cost of business-as-usual)

...Alternative to CBA: Multi-criteria analysis compares costs to multiple outcome indicators which are not monetised











## Conclusions

- Welfare economics is concerned with the effects that decisions on resource allocation have on welfare (maximisation of social utility)
- **CBA** is an assessment tool that **compares costs and benefits** of the consequences of a policy or a project **to all members of society**
- CBA requires values to be **monetised** and **future values to be discounted**
- The chosen **discount rate** has critical impact on the outcomes of the CBA
  - Higher discount rates give less importance to future benefits and costs
- Using several indicators of economic efficiency provides a more solid basis for recommendation